

NEW JERSEY MILITIA NEWSLETTER

Volume VII, Issue No. 5

November 2001

All persons are by nature free and independent, and have certain natural and unalienable rights, among which are those of enjoying and defending life and liberty, of acquiring, possessing, and protecting property, and of pursuing and obtaining safety and happiness.

-- Article 1, Section 1, New Jersey State Constitution

Al-Qaeda terrorist duped FBI, Army

By Joseph Neff and John Sullivan

FORT BRAGG - Ali Mohamed, a retired U.S. Army Green Beret supply sergeant, served at Fort Bragg and in the inner circle of Osama bin Laden's Islamic fundamentalist terrorists' network.

After al-Qaeda's car-bombings of the American embassies in Kenya and Tanzania in 1998, investigators linked Mohamed to the attacks, which killed 224 people and injured more than 4,500. He has since pleaded guilty.

Even more than the hijackers of Sept. 11, Mohamed, a native Egyptian, lived and trained in the United States.

"He was an active source for the FBI, a double agent," said Larry Johnson, a former CIA agent and director of counterterrorism at the State Department during the elder Bush's administration.

The FBI "did a lousy job of managing him," Johnson said.

The FBI declined to be interviewed.

In 1986, Mohamed enlisted as a regular soldier in the U.S. Army at the age of 34, unusually old for a recruit. He was assigned to the U.S. Special Operations Command in Fort Bragg, the home of the Green Berets and the Delta Force, the elite counterterrorism squad.

Fluent in Arabic, Hebrew, French and English, Mohamed brought extensive knowledge of the Arab world. Though officially a supply sergeant, he spent much of his time teaching soldiers about the Mideast.

In 1988, he used his leave to join the war in Afghanistan against Soviet occupation. It was there that he apparently met Osama bin Laden.

Near the end of his tour at Bragg, Mohamed traveled on weekends to New Jersey, where he trained other Islamic fundamentalists in surveillance, weapons and explosives.

He continued this training after he was honorably discharged in 1989 with commendations in his file, including one for "patriotism, valor, fidelity and professional excellence."

Mohamed was soon handling some of bin Laden's most sensitive security matters, details of which he made public during his guilty plea.

Mohamed also gave basic explosives training for al-Qaeda soldiers in Afghanistan in 1992 and translated training manuals from English to Arabic. In 1993, he trained Somali clansmen in the months leading up to a battle that took the lives of 18 U.S. soldiers.

Mohamed taught the terrorists how to create cell structures that preserved secrecy and how to move undercover in Western countries. He taught surveillance techniques: how to case targets, photograph them and write attack plans.

In planning the embassy attacks in Kenya, Mohamed and his al-Qaeda associates showed the kind of patience that would later characterize the 2001 attacks in America. It was not until August 1998 -- four years later -- that Mohamed's partners parked a truck

full of plastic explosives that shattered windows as far as five blocks away. The blast killed 224 people and injured more than 4,500.

In 1990, when authorities searched the home of El Sayyid Nosair, who was charged with radical Jewish leader Rabbi Meier Kahane's murder, they found U.S. Army training manuals, videotaped talks that Mohamed delivered at the Special Warfare Center at Fort Bragg, an operation plan for Operation Bright Star and other "Classified" or "Top Secret" materials.

Mohamed, who often stayed in New Jersey with Nosair, was the source of these documents.

Observers believe that Mohamed is now cooperating with the United States. When he is finally sentenced, Mohamed could receive as little as 25 years under his plea agreement.

David Ruhnke, attorney for one of the four embassy bombing defendants, said that Mohamed's deal with the government might strike the jury as unfair, because the government was seeking the death penalty for two defendants.

According to Larry Johnson, the former State Department counterterrorism chief, putting Mohamed on trial would unearth material extremely embarrassing for the government.

"The reason he didn't testify was so they wouldn't have to face uncomfortable statements on the FBI," Johnson said. "They are more interested in covering their ass."

-- Charlottesville News

Observer, October 21, 2001

"Conquest of Aztlan"

Video sends National Guard to Mexican Border

After American Patrol warned the people of Arizona about the Mexican invasion of America in general and Cochise County in particular the Cochise County Board of Supervisors passed a resolution May 13 asking the Governor of Arizona to send the National Guard to the Mexican border.

AT THAT TIME GOV. HULL DECIDED NOT TO SEND THE GUARD TO THE BORDER.

On September 22 American Patrol premiered the video "Conquest of Aztlan" to a standing ovation. Arizona Rep. Karen Johnson was in the audience and asked for a copy. On October 4 Rep. Johnson bought two more copies of "Conquest", saying "I am anxious to share ("Conquest of Aztlan") with all the legislature."

ON OCTOBER 17TH ARIZONA GOV. HULL SAID SHE WILL SEND NAT'L GUARD TO BORDER.

We believe that our video, "Conquest of Aztlan", convinced the Arizona governor to call out the National Guard.

See what the fuss is about. Order your copy of "Conquest of Aztlan" today.

-- <http://www.americanpatrol.com> or call 1-800-600-8642

If Rev. Niemöller Were Alive Today...

"First they came for the Communists, and I didn't speak up because I wasn't a Communist.

"Then they came for the Jews, and I didn't speak up because I wasn't a Jew.

"Then they came for the Trade Unionists, and I didn't speak up because I wasn't a Trade Unionist.

"Then they came for the Catholics, and I didn't speak up because I was a Protestant.

"Then they came for me, and by that time, no one was left to speak up." -- Rev. Dr. Martin Niemöller, July 1, 1937; arrested by the Third Reich.

An update, with the United States in mind, might go like this:

First they burned the house of the Symbionese Liberation Army and killed their members. Most of us did not object because we did not believe in the same things as the Symbionese Liberation Army.

Then they fire bombed the

Philadelphia MOVE group, burned down two city blocks, and killed 11 members. Most of us did not object because we did not believe in the same things as MOVE.

Then they burned the hideout of Gordon Kahl, a tax protester, and killed him. Most of us did not object because we did not believe in the same things as Gordon Kahl.

Then they burned the house of Robert Matthews, leader of "The Order," and killed him. Most of us did not object because we did not believe in the same things as Robert Matthews.

Then they conducted a siege and a raid of 80-member Covenant of the Sword and Arm of the Lord religious group. Most of us did not object because we did not believe in the same things as the Covenant of the Sword and Arm of the Lord.

Then they besieged Randy Weaver's family, and killed his wife and son, and even the family dog. Many of us did not object because we did not believe in the same things as Randy Weaver's family.

Then they burned the church of the Branch Davidians and killed almost 80 people. Most people did not object because we did not believe in the same things as the Branch Davidians

And then they came for people accused by the USA PATRIOT Act...

USA PATRIOT Act

Section 213, Authority for Delaying Notice of the Execution of a Warrant ("Sneak-and-Peek"), effectively allows police to avoid giving prior warning when searches of personal property are conducted.

Before the USA PATRIOT Act, the government had to obtain a warrant and give notice to the person whose property was to be searched. With one vote by Congress and the sweep of the president's pen, the right of every American fully to be protected under the Fourth Amendment against unreasonable searches and seizures was swept away.

Don't be a Jihad Joe

It's a safe bet that most of the people reading this aren't high government officials or corporate mega-barons.

Unlike Attorney General John Ashcroft, Senate Majority Leader Tom Daschle or Larry Ellison ("Mr.

National ID"), CEO of the giant database company Oracle, you won't be responsible for creating the laws, regulations, and technologies that are rapidly being used to turn the U.S. into a police state under the false claim that mass surveillance of citizens and uncontrolled searches are the best means to "combat terrorism."

But you may be responsible for implementing these police-state policies.

If you're police officer or National Guardsman, you may be expected to conduct unconstitutional searches or make mass arrests of innocent people.

If you're a technician, it may be your job to install facial-recognition cameras in public places.

If you're a bank employee, you may be expected to help the government extend its routine probes into the accounts of millions of innocent people.

If you're a taxpayer, you'll be expected to empty your pockets to pay for false security and very real tyranny.

The possibilities are plentiful and frightening -- all the more frightening because these things may soon become the ordinary routine of police-state America. The Bush administration has declared a War on Terrorism. And to whatever extent that means hunting down and punishing genuine terrorists, we support the effort. But just as the War on Drugs became a 20-year war on the American people and an excuse to tear away the protections of the Bill of Rights -- eroding property rights, privacy, due process, and dozens of other liberties -- the War on Terrorism may be used to strip away the last remaining American freedoms.

Millions will be asked to be the foot soldiers in that Jihad against American Liberties -- the dutiful, loyal "Jihad Joes and Janes" obeying the totalitarian commands of higher ups. If you go along with abusing the rights of your countrymen -- in major ways or insignificant ones -- you'll only be "following orders." You'll just be "doing a job."

"Don't blame me," thousands of cops and clerks and technicians and programmers and internment camp guards will rationalize. "I'm only a little guy

In the beginning of change the patriot is a scarce man, brave, hated and scorned. When his cause succeeds, however, the timid join him, for then it costs nothing to be a patriot. -- Mark Twain

trying to protect my pension."

It's true that Jihad Joes don't have the power of their masters. But without their obedience, the masters won't have the power to surveil and control the citizens of America. They will not have the power to steal our freedom. They need US to help them do that.

The U.S. government has the authority to track down and deal with terrorists without gutting one more article of the Bill of Rights. All the new demands -- for expanded searches, new crimes and increased sentences, wiretaps on the innocent, indefinite detentions, more databases, more cameras, more record keeping, more biometric ID, more submission -- are just a pretext for implementing a long-held agenda of control.

No one has THE answer to ending tyranny. But there is AN answer -- one that you can immediately practice in your own life, without having to wait for your congressman or anybody else to act.

Problem is, it's an answer almost no one wants to hear: RESIST.

The ultimate responsibility of everyone who truly loves freedom is to LIVE freedom. That means to resist bad laws.

That means to refuse to enforce or in any other way help implement police-state policies.

We must refuse to obey, refuse to submit to the searches or to conduct them, refuse to take a national ID card or to program the database for it or install the scanners used to track cardholders. We must refuse to be disarmed or to disarm innocent others.

It is our responsibility as Americans. It is the ultimate test of whether we sincerely value freedom or whether we just want to sit and whine as powerful people and their Jihad Joes seize it from us.

"But," the potential enforcers object, "If I refuse to obey or if I quit my job, they'll just replace me with somebody more brutal and more willing to follow bad orders."

We hate to say it, but maybe seeing the true ruthless face of the police state is exactly what it's going to take to wake Americans up. Maybe the polite cop or the courteous clerk enforcing the police state only helps prolong tyranny by making injustice more tolerable to foolish men and women.

We all have a responsibility now.

Draw your line in the sand; know what you won't tolerate yourself and what you won't inflict on others.

RESIST the police state. Nobody can tell you which specific actions you should take (or not take). But you can start by reading Henry David Thoreau's powerful essay, "Civil Disobedience"

<http://www2.cybernex.net/~rlenat/civil1.html>) and Thomas Paine's "Common Sense" (http://www.vt.edu/vt98/academics/books/paine/common_sense).

DON'T BE A JIHAD JOE. Don't look your grandchildren in the eye someday and tell them that when the police state came, "I WAS ONLY FOLLOWING ORDERS." - Concerned Citizens Opposed to Police States

Ed.: Are there Jihad Joes in the N.J. State Police? Here's one man's experience. You decide. - "I went to buy a Remington 7400 and wasn't allowed to. It seems that since the address on my driver's license doesn't match the address on my ID card, I can no longer purchase long guns! I didn't move. My mailing address changed because of the new 911 addressing. I went to the State Police to change the address on my ID card and found that it's something like 5 pages of information to fill out and \$15. I also understand it's gonna take a couple months to get my address changed on the damned card! I DIDN'T MOVE!!!!!!!"

Government-funded Research Backfires

President Jimmy Carter and his inner circle, intent on pushing through comprehensive new federal gun-control legislation during the late '70s, determined that the best way to grease the congressional skids would be to have a massive scientific study conducted which would proclaim that gun control laws were effective in reducing crime.

So the Carter folks handed out a major gun-control research grant to University of Massachusetts sociology Professor James D. Wright and his colleagues Peter Rossi and Kathleen Daly. They spent four years and lots of taxpayer dollars to produce the most comprehensive, critical study of gun control ever undertaken. In 1981, they published

the results of their research - an exhaustive, three-volume work entitled, *Under the Gun*.

There was only one problem.

Their findings, summarized starkly by co-author Wright, were that "Gun control laws do not reduce crime."

"When Wright, Rossi, and Daly produced their report for the National Institute of Justice, they delivered a document quite different from the one they had expected to write," according to David Kopel, research director of the Colorado-based Independence Institute. "Carefully reviewing all existing research to date, the three scholars found no persuasive scholarly evidence that America's 20,000 gun control laws had reduced criminal violence."

Among their many findings:

* The landmark federal Gun Control Act of 1968, banning most interstate gun sales, had no discernible impact on the criminal acquisition of guns from other states.

* Detroit's law providing mandatory sentences for felonies committed with a gun was found to have no effect on gun-crime patterns.

* Washington, D.C.'s 1977 ban on the ownership of handguns (except those already registered in the District) was not linked to any reduction in gun crime in the nation's capital.

* Polls claiming to show that a large majority of the population favored "more gun control" were debunked as being the product of biased questions, and of the fact that most people have no idea how strict gun laws already are.

"As the scholars frankly admitted, they had started out their research as gun-control advocates," said Kopel, "and had been forced to change their minds by a careful review of the evidence."

The bottom line: Those intent on restricting or eliminating firearms still aren't paying attention to the abundant evidence all around them, since it contradicts their preconceived opinions.

Delta Force, Step Aside!

Take all American women who are within five years of menopause, train us for a few weeks, outfit us with automatic

weapons, grenades, gas masks, moisturizer with SPF15, Prozac, hormones, chocolate and canned tuna. Drop us (parachuted, preferably) across the landscape of Afghanistan, and let us do what comes naturally.

Think about it. Our anger quotient alone, even when doing standard stuff like grocery shopping and paying bills, is formidable enough to make even armed men in turbans tremble.

We've had our children, we would gladly suffer or die to protect them and their future. We'd like to get away from our husbands, if they haven't left already. And for those of us who are single, the prospect of finding a good man with whom to share life is about as likely as being struck by lightning. We have nothing to lose.

We've survived the water diet, the protein diet, the carbohydrate diet, and the grapefruit diet in gyms and saunas across America and never lost a pound. We can easily survive months in the hostile terrain of Afghanistan with no food at all!

We've spent years tracking down our husbands or lovers in bars, hardware stores, or sporting events...finding bin Laden in some cave will be no problem.

Uniting all the warring tribes of Afghanistan in a new government? Oh, please...we've planned the seating arrangements for in-laws and extended families at Thanksgiving dinners for years ... we understand tribal warfare.

Between us, we've divorced enough husbands to know every trick there is for how they hide, launder, or cover up bank accounts and money sources. We know how to find that money and we know how to seize it...with or without the government's help!

Let us go and fight. The Taliban hates women. Imagine their terror as we crawl like ants with hot-flashes over their godforsaken terrain!

-- From the Net

* * *

When you're wounded and left on Afghanistan's plains,
And the women come out to cut up what remains,
Jest roll to your rifle and blow out your brains,
An' go to your Gawd like a soldier.
-- "The Young British Soldier", by Rudyard Kipling

"The Meaning of Timothy McVeigh"

By Gore Vidal

Thus far, David Hoffman's *The Oklahoma City Bombing and the Politics of Terror* is the most thorough of a dozen or two accounts of what did and did not happen on that day in April. Hoffman begins his investigation with retired Air Force brigadier general Benton K. Partin's May 17, 1995 letter, delivered to each member of the Senate and House of Representatives:

"When I first saw the pictures of the truck bomb's asymmetrical damage to the Federal Building, my immediate reaction was that the pattern of damage would have been technically impossible without supplementing demolition charges at some of the reinforcing concrete column bases. For a simplistic blast truck-bomb, of the size and composition reported, to be able to reach out in the order of 60 feet and collapse a reinforced column base the size of column A-7 is beyond credulity."

In separate agreement was Samuel Cohen, father of the neutron bomb and formerly of the Manhattan Project, who wrote an Oklahoma state legislator, "It would have been absolutely impossible and against the laws of nature for a truck full of fertilizer and fuel oil, no matter how much was used, to bring the building down."

One would think that McVeigh's defense lawyer, restlessly looking for a Middle East connection, could certainly have called these acknowledged experts to testify, but he didn't.

In the March 20, 1996, issue of *Strategic Investment* newsletter, it was reported that Pentagon analysts tended to agree with General Partin. "A classified report prepared by two independent Pentagon experts has concluded that the destruction of the Federal building in Oklahoma City last April was caused by five separate bombs. Sources close to the study say that Timothy McVeigh did play a role in the bombing but 'peripherally,' as a 'useful idiot.'" Finally, "the multiple bombings have a Middle Eastern 'signature'."

As it turned out, Partin's and Cohen's pro bono efforts to examine the ruins were in vain. Sixteen days after the bombing, the search for

victims stopped. In another letter to Congress, Partin stated that the building should not be destroyed until an independent forensic team was brought in to investigate the damage. "It is also easy to cover up crucial evidence as was apparently done in Waco. Why rush to destroy the evidence?"

The Feds demolished the ruins six days later. They offered the same excuse that they had used at Waco, "health hazards." Partin: "It's a classic cover-up."

"So what's the take-away?" as the producers of live television plays used to ask. This meant: what is the audience supposed to think when the play is over?

The McVeigh story presents us with several take-aways. If McVeigh is simply a 'useful idiot,' a tool of what might have been a very large conspiracy, involving various homegrown militias working, some think, with Middle Eastern helpers, then the F.B.I.'s refusal to follow up so many promising leads goes quite beyond its ordinary incompetence and smacks of treason.

If McVeigh was the unlikely sole mover and begetter of the bombing, then his "inhumane" (the Unabomber's adjective) destruction of so many lives will have served no purpose at all unless we take it seriously as what it is, a wake-up call to a federal government deeply hated, it would seem, by millions. (Remember that the popular Ronald Reagan always ran against the federal government, though often for the wrong reasons.)

Final far-fetched take-away: McVeigh did not make nor deliver nor detonate the bomb but, once arrested on another charge, seized all "glory" for himself and so gave up his life. That's not a story for W.E. Henley so much as for one of his young men, Rudyard Kipling, author of *The Man Who Would Be King*.

Finally, the fact that the McVeigh-Nichols scenario makes no sense at all suggests that yet again, we are confronted with a "perfect" crime - thus far.

-- September, 2001 *Vanity Fair*

Bin Laden 'received UN cash'

A BBC investigation has revealed that the United Nations funded the work of a charity believed by the United States to be a front organisation for Osama Bin Laden, the prime suspect in the September plane attacks on the U.S.

The UN donated more than \$1.4m to a consortium of charities working in the Sudan in 1997, one of which was the Muwafaq (Arabic: blessed relief) Foundation.

The US Treasury believes wealthy businessman Yasin al-Qadi set up the charity. Mr al-Qadi's assets were among those frozen by the Treasury for alleged links with terrorism.

If the US Treasury is right about "Blessed Relief", it is a serious blow to the UN's reputation.

"The fact that the UN has been so easily duped will no doubt cause great unease within the international community," says the BBC's correspondent.

-- news.bbc.co.uk/hi/english/world/middle_east/newsid_1610000/1610214.stm

Ed: "UN cash" is our cash. Why are they financing our funeral?

Fundamental Freedoms and War

By Thomas J. DiLorenzo

Jay Winik is dead wrong with his assertion that wartime restrictions on civil liberties do not ultimately curtail fundamental freedoms in times of peace. As he wrote, Lincoln unconstitutionally suspended the writ of habeas corpus and ordered the military to arrest thousands of Northern civilians, including dozens of newspaper publishers, state legislators, mayors and even members of Congress for opposing his domestic and war policies. No warrants were issued and no charges were ever brought. These people were summarily thrown into military prisons where some of them languished for years.

This and other acts of repression in the North during the Lincoln administration was an important step along the road to centralized governmental power in America, which is always the mortal enemy of individual liberty.

As Dean Sprague explained in "Freedom Under Lincoln," these acts laid the groundwork for such coercive measures as military conscription and income taxation. In 1861 the federal government was inconsequential to most Americans, which is how the founding fathers wanted it. But Lincoln's assault on civil liberties changed that forever.

For decades most historians have largely ignored these embarrassing facts about the Lincoln

administration, but, interestingly, left/liberal intellectuals have recently begun celebrating them precisely because they helped establish the centralized state that we now labor under. In "Our Secret Constitution," Columbia University law professor George P. Fletcher applauds Lincoln's assault on civil liberties in the North because it supposedly helped to "reinvent the United States" government from one whose main objective was the protection of individual liberty to "egalitarianism." Garry Wills and James McPherson have made similar arguments.

In his 1962 book "Patriotic Gore," the literary critic Edmund Wilson credited Lincoln, more than anyone else, with creating the centralized American state and warned that centralized governmental power is the enemy of individual liberty. Lincoln scholar Mark Neely Jr. excoriates Wilson for this view in "The Fate of Liberty" by claiming that it is based on Wilson's "extremist views of individual freedom." But Wilson's views on freedom were the same ones that were held by Jefferson, Madison and other founders. They are "extreme" only to the advocates of unlimited governmental power.

-- Thomas J. DiLorenzo is an economics professor at Loyola College, Baltimore. His letter, appeared in the *Wall St. Journal*, October 30, 2001

Verbal shots traded over the success of gun amnesty

SYDNEY - Obsolete police service revolvers thrown in with swords, sickles, sawn-off shotguns and even scissors are part of a huge arsenal to be destroyed in New South Wales.

The Government paints this as a sign of a flood of illegal weapons being removed from NSW streets but the Opposition says it represents a mere trickle.

Of the 4,669 firearms to be melted down in a Sydney furnace this afternoon, about half had been surrendered under a three-month gun amnesty, police said.

Since the amnesty was launched at the end of June, 3,706 firearms have been handed in and 48,538 registered.

The Premier, Bob Carr, said the figures were "very encouraging" but the Opposition police spokesman, Andrew Tink, said

claims the amnesty had been a success were absurd.

Mr. Carr praised gun owners for surrendering and registering their firearms. "They're weapons that were lying around in garages and in back rooms that could have been drawn on at any occasion as part of criminal activity," he said.

"This is all about ensuring that we never become like the United States; infested with illegal guns."

Mr. Tink estimated the weapons surrendered under the amnesty represented only 6 per cent of illegal firearms in NSW and called the exercise a failure.

Quoting figures from the Australian Firearms Buyback Web site, Mr. Tink said that during that scheme, which ended in 1998, NSW gun owners surrendered 155,285 firearms compared with Victoria's 207,368.

"Given that NSW is 33 per cent larger than Victoria in population, the Carr Government has missed out on the buyback of approximately 70,000 weapons," he said.

"Indeed, when the recent flood of illegal handguns are fully factored in, it is quite likely the Carr Government has gone backwards during its gun amnesties."

Police warned anyone owning illegal weapons who ignored the amnesty that they would face heavy penalties if caught.

-- *Morning Herald*, 10-24-01

Ed.: Let's see, Australia was a penal colony so the odds of crooks running the show are pretty darn high. The so called Opposition is phony. It wants the crooks to disarm people at a faster clip. So whichever political gang takes over will never face any real opposition??

Letters

Gentlemen,

Thank you for sending your newsletter. However they are blocking it from coming into the facility I have been moved to. If you could send it to Nancy at PBN it can and will be used to help prepare the Intel Report and the Kitchen Militia program. If I am here for any period of time then I will write and submit articles for your newsletter. If you wish to use them. Short article below.

As with the original American Revolution militia formations must have predeployed

material throughout their areas of operation and in any safe area that they may have prepared for medical evacuation and rest and recovery. Many people who have specialized support skills should be designated to these safe areas so that they will be where they are needed when the time comes. They should have 3 priorities at this time.

#1 Have at least 3 locations where you have material predeployed and hidden so that multiple options are open with regard to movement. Have water at each site.

#2 Have all forms of transportation ready for use and independent radio communications for each individual at all times.

#3 You should have your boonie pack with you at all times so that you will have the option to be mobile as the need should develop.

While the list can be longer this is the quick list. For new people coming on line they will be less prepared and must get on the fast track. At least this is an easy to understand priority list.

Anyway God bless and keep you always.

Your brother in arms and victory.

Mark Gregory, Koernke
G.D.W.
State Prisoner, Michigan

* * *

Hey friends,

As I am from Nevada I can only imagine the devastation that you are facing after the horrendous acts of September 11.

As American Patriots we must realize we are family and if someone messes with even one of us they mess with us all.

Please know my prayers are with you. Please hang in there and God bless.

A.A.

* * *

Here are some headnotes (3 and 4) from *U.S. v. Miller*, 307 US 174 (1939):

"We must extend the authority of the Union to the citizens – the only object of government." – *Federalist* 10

"Trying to discipline all the militia of the United States is as impossible as it would be injurious. Being an expert in military movements requires time and practice. A day or even a week isn't sufficient to attain it... With respect to the people at large little more can be attempted than to have them properly armed and equipped. And in order that this is not neglected, it will be necessary to assemble them once or twice every year." – *Federalist* 29

The *Miller* decision declares "The Second Amendment must be interpreted and applied with a view to its purpose of rendering effective the militia arms that are part of the ordinary military equipment, or that its use could contribute to the common defense."

So how is it that *Miller* supposedly combines the MILITIA with the National Guard? If so why didn't the Supreme Court acknowledge the "combination?" If there is no MILITIA why did the Supreme Court expressly address that issue? By specifically addressing the MILITIA the Supreme Court acknowledges existence of same?

If you have never read the *Miller* decision then you don't know the facts and you cannot speak the truth about what it means. Get it. Read it.

B.B.

* * *

Dear New Jersey Militia,

Thanks for the note and newsletter.

I would be happy to contribute an article. My article would be in one of the following categories:

1. Historical
2. Threat conditions
3. Practical preparations
4. Militia special forces doctrine (teaching)

Enclosed are some goodies to

look at. Enjoy.

Clean your guns.

C.C.

Ed.: Are we paranoid or what? Here we are listing our writers by initials only. (Mark Koernke is in the slammer so they know about him.) As we've said before the humble *N.J. Militia Newsletter* is read avidly by the likes of Mark Pitcavage, the rabid anti-militia fanatic and former contract agent of the Justice Department who is now doing the same dirty work for the ADL. You can be sure even initials and hometowns and states are entered into their databases for use at "the right time."

Anti-Anthrax Masks Tough to Find and Fit

TAMPA, Fla. – Most commonly sold masks offer little or no protection against inhaled anthrax.

For real protection, masks or respirators approved to stop microscopic particles must be used, and they must fit snugly. These can be hard to find and hard to wear.

"To protect effectively, they would have to be fit-tested, and they would have to stop particles that are between 0.45 and 5 microns in size," said Jacqueline Cattani, director of the Center for Biological Defense at the University of South Florida in Tampa.

Experts said they favor the cone-shaped N95 respirators for most people who may be exposed to anthrax spores. The N95 respirators have been recommended by the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Protection for some postal workers.

– Newark *Star Ledger*,
October 27, 2001

NJM, P.O. Box 10176, Trenton New Jersey 08650

ISSN 1523-4657

www.njmilitia.org
militia264@aol.com

walnor@keepandbeararms.com

Middlesex County, Art (732) 607-0833
Wake Co, NC, Dave (919) 363-9410
Morris County, Bill (973) 361-3241

Newsletter Subscription - Donation \$10.00

Cash or Blank Money Order Only

Name _____

Address _____

City _____ State _____ Zip _____

Phone () _____ E-mail _____